arXiv:1508.02794v1 [math.DG] 12 Aug 2015

RICCI SOLITONS ON WARPED PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

S. SHENAWY

Abstract. The purpose of this article is to study Ricci soliton on warped product manifolds. First, varios properties of conformal and concurrent vector fields on warped product manifolds have been obtained. Then we study Ricci soliton on warped product manifolds admitting either a conformal vector field or a concurrent vector field. Finally, we study Ricci soliton on some space-times.

1. An introduction

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called to admit a Ricci soliton structure, denoted by (M, g, ζ, λ), if there exists a vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and a scalar λ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}g + \mathrm{Ric} = \lambda g \tag{1.1}$$

where Ric denotes the Ricci tensor of M and $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}$ denotes the Lie derivative in the direction of ζ. A Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady or expanding if the scalar λ is positive, zero or negative respectively If $\zeta = \operatorname{grad} u$, for a smooth function u, the Ricci soliton $(M, g, \zeta, \lambda) = (M, g, u, \lambda)$ is called a gradient Ricci soliton and the function u is called the potential function. Gradient Ricci solitons are natural generalizations of Einstein manifolds [1]. The study of Ricci solitons was first introduced by Hamilton as fixed or stationary points of the Ricci flow in the space of the metrics on M modulo diffeomorphisms and scaling [9]. Since then, ricci solitons have been extensively studied for different reasons and in different spaces [4, 11–22]. A large and growing body of research has continued to study Ricci soliton after Pereleman used Ricci soliton to solve the Poincare conjecture posed in 1904.

As far as we know, the concept of Ricci soliton has been studied neither on warped products nor on generalized space-time models up to this paper in which we intent to fill this gap in the literature by providing a complete study of warped product Ricci solitons. The concept of a concurrent vector field in warped product manifolds has been introduced and investigated. Various properties of concurrent vector fields have been obtained. Then we study warped product Ricci solitons admitting conformal, concurrent or Killing vector fields.

The article is organized as follows.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(M_i, g_i, D_i), i = 1, 2$ be two C^{∞} Riemannian manifolds equipped with Riemannian metrics g_i where D_i is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g_i. Let

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, and 53C40.

Key words and phrases. Warped product manifolds, Ricci solitons, concurrent vector fields.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

$\pi_1 : M_1 \times M_2 \to M_1$ and $\pi_2 : M_1 \times M_2 \to M_2$ be the natural projection maps of the Cartesian product $M_1 \times M_2$ onto M_1 and M_2 respectively. Also, let $f : M_1 \to (0, \infty)$ be a positive real-valued smooth function. The warped product manifold $M_1 \times_f M_2$ is the the product manifold $M_1 \times M_2$ equipped with the metric tensor $g = g_1 \oplus f^2 g_2$ defined by

$$g = \pi_1^* (g_1) \oplus (f \circ \pi_1)^2 \pi_2^* (g_2)$$

where * denotes the pull-back operator on tensors [2,10]. The function f is called the warping function of the warped product manifold $M_1 \times_f M_2$. In particular, if $f = 1$, then $M_1 \times_1 M_2 = M_1 \times M_2$ is the usual Cartesian product manifold. It is clear that the submanifold $M_1 \times \{q\}$ is isometric to M_1 for every $q \in M_2$. Also, $\{p\} \times M_2$ is homothetic to M_2 for every $p \in M_1$. Throughout this article we use the same notation for a vector field and for its lift to the product manifold.

Let D be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric tensor g. The following proposition is well-known [2,10].

Proposition 1. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$ be a Riemannian warped product manifold with warping function $f > 0$ on M_1. Then*

1. $D_{X_1} Y = D^1_{X_1} Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1)$
2. $D_{X_1} Y_2 = D_{Y_2} X_1 = \frac{X_1(f)}{f} Y_2$
3. $D_{X_2} Y_2 = -f g_2 (X_2, Y_2) \nabla f + D^2_{X_2} Y_2$

for all $X_i, Y_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M_i)$, $i = 1; 2$ where ∇f is the gradient of f.

The following proposition describes the Ricci curvature Ric of the warped product manifold in terms of the lift of Ricci curvatures, Ric^i, on M_i, $i = 1, 2$ [2,10].

Proposition 2. *Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$ be a Riemannian warped product manifold with warping function $f > 0$ on M_1. Then*

1. $\operatorname{Ric}(X_1, Y_1) = Ric^1 (X_1, Y_1) - \frac{n_2}{f} H^f (X_1, Y_1)$
2. $\operatorname{Ric}(X_1, X_2) = 0,$
3. $\operatorname{Ric}(X_2, Y_2) = Ric^2 (X_2, Y_2) - f^* g_2 (X_2, Y_2),$
   for all $X_i, Y_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ where $f^* = f \triangle f + (n_2 - 1) g_1 (\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f)$ and $\triangle f$ is the Laplacian of f.

A vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ on a manifold (M, g) with metric g is called a conformal vector field if

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta g = \rho g$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ is the Lie derivative on M with respect to ζ and ρ is a smooth real-valued function defined on M [5,6,8]. If ρ is non-zero constant or zero, ζ is called homothetic or Killing respectively. One can redefine these three vector fields using the following identity. Let ζ be a vector field, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, Y) = g(D_X \zeta, Y) + g(X, D_Y \zeta) \tag{2.1}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A good and simple characterization of Killing vector fields is given in the following proposition [23]. The proof is direct using the symmetry in the above identity.

Proposition 3. *If (M, g, D) is a Riemannian manifold with Riemannian connection D. A vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a Killing vector field if and only if*

$$g(D_X \zeta, X) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Now we consider Killing vector fields on Riemannian warped product manifolds. The following simple result will help us to present a characterization of Killing vector fields on warped product manifolds [7,23].

Proposition 4. *Let* $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ *be a vector field on the Riemannian warped product manifold* $M_1 \times_f M_2$ *with warping function* f*. Then for any vector field* $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ *we have*

$$g(D_X\zeta, X) = g_1\left(D^1_{X_1}\zeta_1, X_1\right) + f^2 g_2\left(D^2_{X_2}\zeta_2, X_2\right) + f\zeta_1(f)\|X_2\|^2 \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 5. *Let* $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ *be a vector field on the Riemannian warped product manifold* $M_1 \times_f M_2$ *with warping function* f*. Then* ζ *is a Killing vector field if one of the following conditions hold*

1. $\zeta = \zeta_1$ *and* ζ_1 *is a killing vector field on* M_1*.*
2. $\zeta = \zeta_2$ *and* ζ_2 *is a killing vector field on* M_2*.*
3. ζ_i *is a Killing vector field on* $M_i, i = 1, 2$ *and* $\zeta_1(f) = 0$*.*

The converse of the above result is considered in following theorem [23].

Proposition 6. *Let* $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ *be a killing vector field on the warped product manifold* $M_1 \times_f M_2$ *with warping function* f*. Then*

1. ζ_1 is a Killing vector field on M_1.
2. ζ_2 is a Killing vector field on M_2 if $\zeta_1(f) = 0$.

In [7] the authors proved similar results in standard static space-times using the following identity. Let $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1 \times_f M_2)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold $M_1 \times_f M_2$ with warping function f. Then

$$(\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, Y) = \left(\mathcal{L}^1_{\zeta_1} g_1\right)(X_1, Y_1) + f^2\left(\mathcal{L}^2_{\zeta_2} g_2\right)(X_2, Y_2) + 2f\zeta_1(f)\, g_2(X_2, Y_2) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^i_{\zeta_i}$ is the Lie derivative on M_i with respect to $\zeta_i, i = 1, 2$.

3. Concurrent vector fields on warped product manifolds

A vector field ζ on a Riemannian manifold M which satisfies

$$\nabla_X \zeta = X$$

for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called a concurrent vector field [3]. It is clear that a concurrent vector field is a homothetic one with factor $\rho = 2$ since

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, Y) &= g(D_X\zeta, Y) + g(X, D_Y\zeta) \\ &= 2g(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

A constant vector field is not concurrent. ζ is called gradient if there is a function u defined on M such that $\zeta = \nabla u$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, Y) &= g(D_X\nabla u, Y) + g(X, D_Y\nabla u) \\ &= 2H^u(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

where H^u is the Hessian tensor defined on M. Let ζ be a concurrent vector field and let $u = \frac{1}{2}g(\zeta, \zeta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla u, X) &= X\left(\frac{1}{2}g(\zeta, \zeta)\right) \\ &= g(D_X\zeta, \zeta) \\ &= g(X, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\zeta = \nabla u$. The converse is also true as in the following proposition.

Proposition 7. *A vector field ζ on a manifold M is concurrent with respect to a Riemannian metric g if and only if*

$$\zeta = \nabla u, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g = 2g$$

where $u = \frac{1}{2}\left\|\zeta\right\|^2$.

Thus a concurrent vector field is a gradient vector field.

Theorem 1. *Let $\zeta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ be a vector field on $M = (M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$. ζ is concurrent on M if and only if ζ_1 is a concurrent vector field on M_1 and one of the following conditions holds*

(1) *ζ_2 is a concurrent vector field on M_2 and f is constant.*
(2) *$\zeta_2 = 0$ and $\zeta_1(f) = f$.*

Proof. Suppose that ζ is a concurrent vector field on M. Then

$$D_{\partial_i}\zeta = \partial_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n_1, n_1 + 1, ..., n_1 + n_2 \tag{3.1}$$

The first n_1 equations of (3.1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\partial_i}(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) &= \partial_i \\ D^1_{\partial_i}\zeta_1 + \partial_i(\ln f)\zeta_2 &= \partial_i \end{aligned}$$

The tangential and normal parts of the last equation are

$$D^1_{\partial_i}\zeta_1 = \partial_i \tag{3.2}$$

$$\partial_i(\ln f)\zeta_2 = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

Equation (3.2) implies that ζ_1 is concurrent vector field on M_1. The second equation implies that

$$\partial_i(\ln f) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \zeta_2 = 0$$

Now, we have two cases:

Case 1: $\partial_i(\ln f) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n_1$: This equation implies that f is constant. Now, we use the second n_2 equations of (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\partial_i}(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) &= \partial_i \quad i = n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, ..., n_1 + n_2 \\ \zeta_1(\ln f)\partial_i + D^2_{\partial_i}\zeta_2 - f g_2(\partial_i, \zeta_2)\,\mathrm{grad}\, f &= \partial_i \end{aligned}$$

Since f is constant,

$$D^2_{\partial_i}\zeta_2 = \partial_i$$

i.e. ζ_2 is a concurrent vector field on M_2. Thus the first condition holds.

Case 2: $\zeta_2 = 0$: Now, we use the second n_2 equations of (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\partial_i}(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) &= \partial_i \quad i = n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, ..., n_1 + n_2 \\ \zeta_1(\ln f)\partial_i &= \partial_i \end{aligned}$$

This equation imply that $\zeta_1(\ln f) = 1$ and hence $\zeta_1(f) = f$. This is the second condition.

Conversely, suppose that the first condition holds. Then for $i = 1, 2, ..., n_1$ we have

$$D_{\partial_i}\zeta = D^1_{\partial_i}\zeta_1\zeta + \partial_i(\ln f)\zeta_2 = D^1_{\partial_i}\zeta_1 = \partial_i$$

and for $i = n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, ..., n_1 + n_2$ we have

$$D_{\partial_i}\zeta = \zeta_1(\ln f)\partial_i + D^2_{\partial_i}\zeta_2 - f g_2(\zeta_2, \partial_i)\,\mathrm{grad}\, f = D^2_{\partial_i}\zeta_2 = \partial_i$$

Therefore, ζ is a concurrent vector field. Now suppose that the second condition holds. Then for $i = 1, 2, ..., n_1$ we have

$$D_{\partial_i}\zeta = D_{\partial_i}\zeta_1 = D^1_{\partial_i}\zeta_1 = \partial_i$$

and for $i = n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, ..., n_1 + n_2$ we have

$$D_{\partial_i}\zeta = \zeta_1 (\ln f)\, \partial_i = \partial_i$$

Therefore ζ is concurrent in this case also and the proof is complete. □

Remark 1. *The above result ensures that*

1. *There is no concurrent vector field on $M_1 \times_f M_2$ of the form $\zeta = \zeta_2$. Thus, there is no space-like concurrent vector field on $I \times_f M$.*
2. *The only time-like concurrent vector field on $I \times_f M$ is $\zeta_1 = (t + c)\, \partial_t$ where $f = a (t + c)$ and $a > 0$.*
3. *The only concurrent vector field on $M_1 \times_f M_2$ of the form $\zeta = \zeta_1$ exists if ζ_1 is concurrent on M_1 and $\zeta_1 (f) = f$.*

Example 1. *Let $\bar{M} = I \times_f M$ be a generalized Robertson-Walker space-times space-time equipped with the metric $\bar{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2 g$ where (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and I is an open connected interval with the usual flat metric $-dt^2$. A vector field of the form $\zeta = u\partial_t$ is a concurrent vector field on $\bar{M}$ if and only if*

$$D_{\partial_t} (u\partial_t) = \partial_t \qquad \text{and} \qquad (u\partial_t)\, f = f$$

these two differential equations have the following solution

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= (t + c)\, \partial_t \\ f &= a (t + c) \end{aligned}$$

where $a > 0$ and $t + c > 0$.

Example 2. *Let $I \times_{\cosh t} M$ be a warped product manifold of an open connected interval I and a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with metric*

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + (\cosh t)^2\, g$$

The vector field $\zeta = \coth t \partial_t$ satisfies that

$$\zeta (f) = \coth t \partial_t (\cosh t) = \cosh t = f$$

But ζ is not concurrent on $I \times_{\cosh t} M$ since $\zeta_1 = \coth t \partial_t$ is not concurrent on I.

4. Warped product Ricci solitons

A smooth vector field $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ on a Riemannian warped product manifold $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$ defines a Ricci soliton if it satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\zeta g + \mathrm{Ric} = \lambda g \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ is the Lie-derivative of the metric tensor g with respect to ζ, Ric is the Ricci tensor of (M, g) and λ is a constant.

Let (M, g, ζ, λ) be a Ricci soliton where $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$. It is clear that (M, g) is Einstein with factor $\lambda - \rho$ if and only if ζ is conformal with factor 2ρ. Using equation (2.4) we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 8. *Let (M, g, ζ, λ) be a Ricci soliton where $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$. Then (M, g) is Einstein if and only if*

(1) *for each* $i = 1, 2$, ζ_i *is conformal on* M_i *with factor* ρ_i.
(2) $\rho_1 = \rho_2 + 2\zeta_1 (\ln f)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.

Theorem 2. *Let* (M, g, ζ, λ) *be a Ricci soliton where* $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$. *Then* (M, g) *is Einstein if one of the follwing conditions holds*

(1) $\zeta = \zeta_1$ *and* ζ_1 *is a killing vector field on* M_1.
(2) $\zeta = \zeta_2$ *and* ζ_2 *is a killing vector field on* M_2.
(3) ζ_i *is a Killing vector field on* $M_i, i = 1, 2$ *and* $\zeta_1 (f) = 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let* $(M_1, g_1, \zeta_1, \lambda)$ *be a Ricci soliton and* (M_2, g_2) *be an Einstein manifold with factor* μ. *Then* (M, g, ζ, λ) *is a Ricci soliton where* $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$ *if*

(1) ζ_2 *is conformal with factor* 2ρ,
(2) $\operatorname{grad} f = c$ *where* $c \in \mathbb{R}$, *and*
(3) $(\lambda - \rho) f^2 = 2f\zeta_1 (f) + \mu + (n_2 - 1) c^2$

Theorem 4. *Let* (M, g, ζ, λ) *be a Ricci soliton and* ζ *be a concurrent vector field on* M *where* $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$ *and* $\zeta_2 \neq 0$. *Then* M, M_1 *and* M_2 *are Ricci flat, gradient shrinking Ricci soliton with factor* $\lambda = 1$.

Proof. Let $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g, \zeta, \lambda)$ be a Ricci soliton and ζ be a concurrent vector field on $M_1 \times_f M_2$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) = (\lambda - 1) g (X, Y) \tag{4.2}$$

Suppose that $X = X_2$ and $Y = Y_2$, then by using Proposition 2 we get that

$$\operatorname{Ric}^2 (X_2, Y_2) = f^* g_2 (X_2, Y_2) + (\lambda - 1) f^2 g_2 (X_2, Y_2)$$

where $f^* = f \triangle f + (n_2 - 1) |\nabla f|^2$. Since ζ is concurrent and $\zeta_2 \neq 0$, ζ_2 is concurrent and $f = c$ is constant. This implies that $f^* = 0$ and so

$$\operatorname{Ric}^2 (X_2, Y_2) = (\lambda - 1) c^2 g_2 (X_2, Y_2) \tag{4.3}$$

i.e. M_2 is Einstein with factor $\mu = (\lambda - 1) f^2$. This equation is true for any vector field in $\mathfrak{X}(M_2)$ and so

$$\operatorname{Ric}^2 (\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = (\lambda - 1) c^2 \|\zeta_2\|_2^2 \tag{4.4}$$

Let ζ_2, $e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n_2 - 1}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{X}(M_2)$, then the curvature tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 (\zeta_2, e_i, \zeta_2, e_i) &= g_2 \left(R^2 (\zeta_2, e_i) \zeta_2, e_i\right) \\ &= g_2 \left(D_{\zeta_2} D_{e_i} \zeta_2 - D_{e_i} D_{\zeta_2} \zeta_2 - D_{[\zeta_2, e_i]} \zeta_2, e_i\right) \\ &= g_2 \left(D_{\zeta_2} e_i - D_{e_i} \zeta_2 - [\zeta_2, e_i], e_i\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\operatorname{Ric}^2 (\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = 0$. By substitution in equation (4.4) we get that $\lambda = 1$ and so equations 4.2 and 4.3 imply that $\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) = \operatorname{Ric}^2 (X_2, Y_2) = 0$.

Now suppose that $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$, then by using Proposition 2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ric}(X_1, Y_1) &= 0 \\ \operatorname{Ric}^1 (X_1, Y_1) &= \frac{n_2}{f} H^f (X_1, Y_1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that all of them are shrinking Ricci soliton with the same factor $\lambda = 1$. Moreover, ζ and ζ_i are gradient vector fields with potential functions $u = \frac{1}{2}g(\zeta, \zeta)$ and $u_i = \frac{1}{2}g(\zeta_i, \zeta_i)$ where $i = 1, 2$ since

$$\begin{aligned} g(X, \nabla u) &= X(u) = g(D_X\zeta, \zeta) \\ &= g(X, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\zeta = \nabla u$ and similarly $\zeta_i = \nabla_i u_i$. □

Theorem 5. *Let (M, g, u, λ) be a gradient Ricci soliton where $(M, g) = \left(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 + f^2 g_2\right)$. Then*

1. *$(M_1, g_1, \phi_1, \lambda_1)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with $\phi_1 = u_1 - n_2 \ln f$ and $u_1 = u$ at some fixed point of M_2.*
2. *$\left(M_2, g_2, \phi_2, \lambda f^2\right)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with $\phi_2 = u$ at some fixed point of M_1 if f is constant.*

Proof. Suppose that $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g, u, \lambda)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton, then

$$H^u(X, Y) + \mathrm{Ric}(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y)$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1 \times_f M_2)$. Let $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^u(X_1, Y_1) + \mathrm{Ric}(X_1, Y_1) &= \lambda g(X_1, Y_1) \\ H_1^{u_1}(X_1, Y_1) + \mathrm{Ric}^1(X_1, Y_1) - \frac{n_2}{f} H_1^f(X_1, Y_1) &= \lambda g_1(X_1, Y_1) \\ H_1^{\phi_1}(X_1, Y_1) + \mathrm{Ric}^1(X_1, Y_1) &= \lambda g_1(X_1, Y_1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_1 = u_1 - n_2 \ln f$ and $u_1 = u$ at a fixed point of M_2. Thus $(M_1, g_1, \phi_1, \lambda)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton. Now let $X = X_2$ and $Y = Y_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^u(X_2, Y_2) + \mathrm{Ric}(X_2, Y_2) &= \lambda g(X_2, Y_2) \\ H_2^{\phi_2}(X_2, Y_2) + \mathrm{Ric}^2(X_2, Y_2) - f^* g_2(X_2, Y_2) &= \lambda f^2 g_2(X_2, Y_2) \\ H_2^{\phi_2}(X_2, Y_2) + \mathrm{Ric}^2(X_2, Y_2) &= \left(\lambda f^2 + f^*\right) g_2(X_2, Y_2) \\ H_2^{\phi_2}(X_2, Y_2) + \mathrm{Ric}^2(X_2, Y_2) &= \lambda_2 g_2(X_2, Y_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $u_2 = u$ at a fixed point of M_1 and $\lambda_2 = \lambda f^2 + f^*$ and $f^* = f \triangle f + (n_2 - 1)\|\nabla f\|_1^2$. If f is constant, then $\left(M_1, g_2, u_2, \lambda f^2\right)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton. □

5. Warped space-time Ricci solitons

Let $\bar{\zeta} = u\partial_t + \zeta$ be a concurrent vector field on a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time $\overline{M} = I \times_f M$ furnished with the metric $\overline{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2 g$, then

$$\bar{D}_{\bar{X}}\bar{\zeta} = \bar{X}$$

for any $\bar{X} = x\partial_t + X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\bar{M}\right)$. This equation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x\dot{u} - f g_2(X, \zeta)\dot{f} &= x \\ \frac{u\dot{f}}{f}X + \frac{x\dot{f}}{f}\zeta + D_X\zeta &= X \end{aligned}$$

Let $X = 0$, then $\dot{u} = 1$ and $\dot{f}\zeta = 0$. Therefore, $u = t + a$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, we have two cases, namely, $\dot{f} = 0$ or $\zeta = 0$. The first case implies that $D_X\zeta = X$ i.e.

ζ is concurrent on M. The second case implies that $u\dot{f} = f$ and so $f = b(t+a)$ where $b > 0$ and $t + a > 0$.

Corollary 1. *Let* $\bar{\zeta} = u\partial_t + \zeta$ *be a field on a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time* $\overline{M} = I \times_f M$ *furnished with the metric* $\overline{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2 g$. *Then* $\bar{\zeta}$ *is a concurrent vector field on* $\bar{M}$ *if and only if* $u = t + a$ *and one of the following conditions hold*

(1) ζ *is concurrent on* M *and* $\dot{f} = 0$.
(2) $\zeta = 0$ *and* $f = b(t+a)$ *where* $b > 0$ *and* $t + a > 0$.

Theorem 6. *Let* $\overline{M} = I \times_f M$ *be a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time equipped with the metric* $\overline{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2 g$ *where* (M, g) *is a Riemannian manifold and* I *is an open connected interval with the usual flat metric* $-dt^2$. *If* $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, u, \lambda)$ *is a Ricci soliton where*

$$u = \int_a^t f(r)\,dr, \qquad \text{for some constant } a \in I$$

then

$$\mathrm{Ric} = \left(\lambda - \dot{f}\right) g \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Let $\zeta = \operatorname{grad} u$, then $\zeta = f(t)\,\partial_t$. It is clear that the vector field is perpendicular to M. Suppose that $\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2, ..., \partial_m$ are orthogonal bases of $\mathfrak{X}\left(\overline{M}\right)$, then the Hessian tensor of u is given by

$$H^u(\partial_t, \partial_t) = \bar{g}(D_X \operatorname{grad} u, Y)$$

Now we have the following cases. The first case when $X = Y = \partial_t$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^u(\partial_t, \partial_t) &= \bar{g}(D_{\partial_t} \operatorname{grad} u, \partial_t) \\ &= \dot{f}\bar{g}(\partial_t, \partial_t) \end{aligned}$$

The second case when $X = \partial_t$ and $Y = \partial_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} H^u(\partial_t, \partial_i) &= \bar{g}(D_{\partial_t} \operatorname{grad} u, \partial_i) \\ &= \dot{f}\bar{g}(\partial_t, \partial_i) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $X = \partial_i$ and $Y = \partial_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, ..., m$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} H^u(\partial_i, \partial_j) &= \bar{g}(D_{\partial_i} \operatorname{grad} u, \partial_j) \\ &= f\bar{g}(D_{\partial_i}\partial_t, \partial_j) \\ &= f\bar{g}\left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\partial_i, \partial_j\right) = \dot{f}\bar{g}(\partial_i, \partial_j) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H^u(X, Y) = \dot{f}\bar{g}(X, Y)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\zeta \bar{g})(X, Y) &= \bar{g}(D_X \operatorname{grad} u, Y) + \bar{g}(D_Y \operatorname{grad} u, X) \\ &= 2H^u(X, Y) = 2\dot{f}\bar{g}(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, u, \lambda)$ is a Ricci soliton, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\zeta \bar{g} + \mathrm{Ric} &= \lambda \bar{g} \\ \dot{f}\bar{g} + \mathrm{Ric} &= \lambda \bar{g} \\ \mathrm{Ric} &= \left(\lambda - \dot{f}\right)\bar{g} \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2. *Let* $\overline{M} = I \times_f M$ *be a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time equipped with the metric* $\overline{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2 g$ *where* (M, g) *is a Riemannian manifold and* I *is an open connected interval with the usual flat metric* $-dt^2$*. If* $\left(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, u, \lambda\right)$ *is a gradient Ricci soliton where*

$$u = \int_a^t f(r)\, dr, \qquad \text{for some constant } a \in I$$

then $\left(\bar{M}, \bar{g}\right)$ *is Einstein if* $\dot{f}$ *is constant and* $\left(\bar{M}, \bar{g}\right)$ *is Ricci flat if* $\lambda = \dot{f}$*.*

Theorem 7. *Let* $\overline{M} = I \times_f M$ *be a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time equipped with the metric* $\overline{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2 g$ *where* (M, g) *is a Riemannian manifold and* I *is an open connected interval with the usual flat metric* $-dt^2$*. If* $\left(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, \zeta, \lambda\right)$ *is a Ricci soliton with concurrent vector field* ζ*, then* (M, g) *is Einstein with factor* $(n-1)\, c^2$ *where* $c = \|\operatorname{grad} f\|$ *is a constant.*

REFERENCES

[1] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[2] R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1-49.

[3] F. Brickell, K. Yano, *Concurrent vector fields and Minkowski structures*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **26** (1974), no. 1, 22–28.

[4] Cao, X. *Compact gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with positive curvature operator*. J. Geom. Anal. 17, (2007) 425-433.

[5] S. Deshmukh, *Conformal Vector Fields and Eigenvectors of Laplacian Operator*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **15**(2012), 163-172.

[6] S. Deshmukh and F. R. Al-Solamy, *Conformal vector fields on a Riemannian manifold*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, **19**(2014), No.2, 86-93.

[7] F. Dobarro, B. Unal, *Characterizing killing vector fields of standard static space-times*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012), 1070–1087.

[8] K. L. Duggala and R. Sharma,*Conformal killing vector fields on spacetime solutions of Einstein's equations and initial data*, Nonlinear Analysis **63** (2005) e447 – e454.

[9] R. S. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom., 17 (1982), 255-306.

[10] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press Limited, London, 1983.

[11] A. Barros and E. Ribeiro Jr., *Some characterizations for compact almost Ricci solitons*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**(2012), 1033-1040.

[12] Abdênago Barros, José N. Gomes, Ernani Ribeiro Jr. *A note on rigidity of the almost Ricci soliton,* Archiv der Mathematik, **100(**2013), no. 5, 481-490

[13] Jacob Bernstein and Thomas Mettler, *Two-Dimensional Gradient Ricci Solitons Revisited*, Int Math Res Not, **2015**(2015), no. 1, Pp. 78-98

[14] Simon Brendle, *Rotational symmetry of Ricci solitons in higher dimensions*, Journal of Differential Geometry, **97**(2014), no. 2 , 191-214.

[15] Huai-Dong Cao and Detang Zhou, *On complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*, Journal of Differential Geometry, **85**(2010), no. 2 , 175-186.

[16] Sharief Deshmukh, Haila Alodan and Hana Al-Sodais, *A note on Ricci solitons*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.16, No.1, 2011, pp. 48-55.

[17] Manuel Fernández-López, Eduardo García-Río, *Rigidity of shrinking Ricci solitons*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 2011, Volume 269, Issue 1-2, pp 461-466

[18] Michael Jablonski, *Homogeneous Ricci solitons are algebraic*, Geometry & Topology **18** (2014) 2477–2486

[19] Klaus Kröncke, *Stability and instability of Ricci solitons*, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, **53**(2015), no. 1-2, pp 265-287

[20] Ovidiu Munteanu and Jiaping Wang, *Topology of Kähler Ricci solitons*, J. Differential Geom., 100(2015), no. 1 , 109-128.

[21] Ovidiu Munteanu, Natasa Sesum, *On Gradient Ricci Solitons*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, **23(**2013), no. 2, pp 539-561

[22] Peter Petersen and William Wylie, *Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **241**(2009), no. 2, 329-345.

[23] Sameh Shenawy and Bulent Unal, $2-$*killing vector fields on warped product manifolds*, International Journal of Mathematics, to appear.

Modern Avademy for Engineering and technology
E-mail address: `drssshenawy@eng.modern-academy.edu.eg`